IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
V.)	Criminal No. 05-0383
)	Electronically Filed
NOFI CLIVE	j	•

MILLER NOTICE AND ORDER

TO THE PETITIONER IN THE ABOVE ACTION:

You have filed a Motion to Vacate Sentence Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (doc. no. 59). You are hereby notified that federal law requires that you include <u>all</u> of your federal constitutional claims challenging a specific conviction and sentence in one habeas corpus petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

The United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit held in <u>United States v.</u>

Miller, 197 F.3d 644 (3d Cir. 1999) that, upon receipt by the United States District Court of a pro se petition challenging an inmate's conviction or incarceration, whether titled or styled as a "section 2255" motion or something else, the District Court "should issue a notice to the petitioner regarding the effect of his pleadings." This <u>Miller</u> notice should advise the petitioner of the following:

- (1) you can have your motion ruled upon as filed;
- (2) if your motion is not styled as a section 2255 motion, this Court can recharacterize your motion as a section 2255 motion and resolve it as such, but in that case, you will lose your ability to file a second and successive petitions absent certification by the Court of Appeals; or
 - (3) you may withdraw the motion, and file one all-inclusive section 2255 petition

within the one-year statutory period under the Antiterrorism Effective Death Penalty Act (AEDPA), 28 U.S.C. § 2244.

This same notice applies to a pro se petition filed by a state prisoner pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Mason v. Meyers, 208 F.3d 414, 418 (3d Cir. 2000).

In other words, if this Court considers and denies your petition as filed and the claims you raise in this petition on the merits, you will not be able to file a second or subsequent petition at a later time challenging the same conviction on other grounds, absent exceptional circumstances and the issuance of a certificate of appealability by the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

You are hereby directed to consider this Court's Notice, and to elect to proceed with your petition as filed, or exercise one of the other options.

Accordingly,

AND NOW, this 23rd day of January, 2008, it is HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner Noel Clive shall file his "Statement of Intent" on or before March 28, 2008, setting forth his intention to either: (1) have his Motion to Vacate Sentence Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 ruled upon as filed (and lose his ability to file a second or successive petitions absent certification by the court of appeals); or (2) withdraw his motion, in which case he may file one all-inclusive § 2255 petition subject, however, to the one-year statutory period prescribed by AEDPA for section 2255 petitions.

If petitioner exercises option no. 3, the time for filing his "one all-inclusive § 2255 petition" will be tolled an additional <u>120 days</u> from the date he files such Statement of Intent. <u>United States v. Miller</u>, 197 F.3d at 653. This Court does not read <u>Miller</u>,

however, as reviving any claims that already may have been extinguished as untimely or as foreclosing the Government's right to challenge any additional claims raised therein as untimely.

In the event petitioner does not file his Statement of Intent on or before **March 28, 2008**, this Court will proceed to determination of his motion as a section 2255 motion, with the attendant consequences under AEDPA. <u>United States v. Miller</u>, 197 F.3d at 652, n.7.

s/ Arthur J. SchwabArthur J. SchwabUnited States District Judge

cc: Noel Clive, petitioner all counsel of record